



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/671,435	09/24/2003	Robert H. Kelley-Wickemeyer	03004.8094US	2918
64066 7590 05/15/2009 PERKINS COIE LLP (BOEING) P.O. BOX 1247 PATENT - SEA SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247				
EXAMINER				
SWIATEK, ROBERT P				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3643				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/15/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/671,435

Applicant(s)

KELLEY-WICKEMEYER ET AL

Examiner

Rob Swiatek

Art Unit

3643

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Rob Swiatek. (3)_____.

(2) Mr. John M. Weckin. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 13 May 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 13, 14, 19, 23-25, 32, 34, 35, 40-42, 47, 52, 62-64 and 72.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sweeney et al. (US 3,790,106), Razak (US 3,362,659), Brine (US 4,784,355), Jennings (US 5,207,400).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative discussed prior art rejections in Office action and how claims might be amended to overcome them. The "step" separating the wing from the flap structure was emphasized as a difference between cited art and the instant invention. The 112 rejections were reviewed and amendments to overcome them proffered.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Rob Swiatek/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3643